

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,742	03/05/2002	Hidekiyo Takaoka	M1071-1711	7012
7590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARD A. MEILMAN, ESQ.			IP, SIKYIN	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS-41ST FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NY 10036-2714		1742	

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s		
Advisory Action	10/087,742	TAKAOKA ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sikyin Ip	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tir	lication. A proper repl hich places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing db)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WT06.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period that the control of the control	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for later than SIX MONTHS from the manager of the SIX MONTHS of the date on which the petition under 37 and of extension and the corresponding are of the shortened statutory period for recoffice later than three months after the	ailing date of the final reject FTHE FINAL REJECTION.  CFR 1.136(a) and the appramount of the fee. The apprapry originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered			
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	•	h (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or si	mplifying the
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cand</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	celing a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claim	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s): double patenting to cl	<u>aims 1-6</u> .	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	<del>en</del> t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered o s would be rejected is provided b	r b)⊠ will be entered elow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 03/03/04.

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reason set forth in the final rejection. Applicant request acknowledgement of priority is noted. But, there is no priority being claimed in this or parent application. The priority document is more than two years old when applicants filed the parent U.S. application. Applicants' arguments with respect to JP '090 and Carey are noted. Examiner reiterates the response in the final rejection items 8-9. Applicants argue that Carey does not disclose Pb-free Sn solder But, the solder as set forth in said reference does not disclose Pb.